ATTACHMENT I-2 DEFENDANT'S VERDICT FORM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA GAINESVILLE DIVISION

Santana Bryson and Joshua Bryson, as Administrators of the Estate of C.Z.B. and as surviving parents of C.Z.B., a deceased minor, Plaintiffs, v.	Case No. 2:22-CV-017-RWS JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
Rough Country, LLC,			
Defendant.			
ROUGH COUNTRY'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM			
We, the jury, return the following verdict:			
COUNT ONE: STRICT LIABILITY			
1. (a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Rough Country			
defectively designed, assembled, manufactured, or sold the lift kit at issue?			
YES NO			
If you answered "NO" to question 1(a) above, please go to Question 2			
(b) Do you find by a preponderance that the lift kit at issue was used in a			
manner reasonably foreseeable by Rough Country?			
YESNC)		

If you answered "NO" to Question 1(b), please go to Question 2.

	(c)	Do you find by a preponderance that the lift kit at issue was a substantial
factor	in pro	ducing damages over and above those which were probably caused as a
result	of the	original impact or collision?
	YES	NO
If you	answe	er Question 1(c) is NO, your verdict is for Rough Country and you should
not pi	roceed	further except to sign and date this verdict form and return it to the
courti	оот.	
	(d)	Do you find by a preponderance that the lift kit at issue proximately
caused the death of Cohen Bryson?		
	YES	NO
If you answer Question $I(d)$ is NO, your verdict is for Rough Country and you should		
not proceed further except to sign and date this verdict form and return it to the		
courti	оот.	
COUNT TWO: NEGLIGENCE		
2.	(a)	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Rough Country
was negligent in its design, assembly, manufacture, or sale of the lift kit at issue?		
	YES	NO
If you answered "NO" to Question 1(a), please go to Note A.		

(b) Do	you find by a preponderance that Rough Country's negligence was
a substantial fact	or in producing damages over and above those which were probably
caused as a resul	t of the original impact or collision?
YES	NO
If you ans	wered "NO" to Question 2(b), please go to Note A.
(c) Do	you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Rough Country's
negligence was	a proximate cause of Cohen Bryson's death?
YES	NO
NOTE A : If you	r answers to Questions 1 (a, b, c, or d) and 2 (a, b, or c) are "NO",
your verdict is fo	or Rough Country and you should not proceed further except to date
and sign this ver	dict form and return it to the courtroom.
	DAMAGES
You should only	answer this if you answer Yes to all sub-parts of Questions 1
and/or 2.	
3. What is th	ne amount of damages, if any, to compensate the Brysons?
\$	
	lamages in response to Question 3, please answer Question 4.
	COUNT THREE: PUNITIVE DAMAGES

4. Under the circumstances of this	s case, do you find by clear and convincing	
evidence that punitive damages are warranted against Rough Country?		
YES	NO	
SO SAY WE ALL, this	day of, 20	
	FOREPERSON	